A study on predictors of treatment outcome among children registered under DOTS in district Tarn Taran, Punjab
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Abstract

Background: Globally, tuberculosis remains an important cause of morbidity and mortality for children. Diagnosis and management of childhood TB especially Extra pulmonary tuberculosis is challenging. Method: A cross-sectional study was conducted on 0-14 year children who were registered and being treated, in district Tarn Taran, Punjab from 1st January 2018 to 31st December 2018. The treatment outcome with their clinico-demographic determinants was ascertained. Data management and analysis was done by using Microsoft excel and SPSS. Results: Out of 62 registered patients, 62.9% of the children were in age group of 11-14 years. 67.7% were females. The various treatment outcomes observed were cured 32.3%, treatment completed 61.3%, lost to follow up 1.6%, regimen change 1.6%, not evaluated 3.2%. The success rate (cured+treatment completed) was 93.6%. On statistical analysis, it was observed that age (p= 0.002), site of disease (p=0.000), contact history (p=0.012) and diagnostic method (p=0.000) was significantly associated with the treatment outcome whereas gender, area of residence and type of case had no association with the treatment outcome in children. Conclusions: We also found that the overall treatment success rate was 93.6%. Increased focus be on those with household contact with TB.
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Introduction

Though Tuberculosis is a preventable and curable disease, it continues to devastate the lives of millions of children. Worldwide in 2018, about 1 million cases of tuberculosis disease and 233 000 tuberculosis-related deaths occurred among children younger than 15 years.  (1) Ninety-six percent of children die from TB before the start of treatment.(2) Overall 55% of estimated children with TB (0–14 years) are not reported to national TB programmes. TB reporting gap is 69%, which is biggest among children less than 5 year, as compared to 35% gap in reporting in all age groups combined. (3) Accurate statistics on pediatric TB cases are difficult to obtain for a multitude of reasons, including under-recognition, challenges in confirming the diagnosis, and under-reporting to national TB programme. This leads to delay in initiating appropriate treatment and have implications on child morbidity and mortality. (4) Children under 5 years are also more likely to progress to tuberculosis disease after infection, and have the highest rates of severe, disseminated forms of tuberculosis compared with older age groups. (5) Children with latent infection become the reservoir for future transmission following disease reactivation in adulthood, fueling future epidemics. Considering that TB in children serves as a marker for recent disease transmission therefore, it is important to have a good understanding of the burden of childhood TB and risk factors for poor treatment outcome.(6)

Aims & Objectives

1. To determine the treatment outcome among children with TB registered under DOTS in district Tarn Taran
2. To determine the relationship of demographic and clinical factors with treatment outcome in childhood TB.

**Material & Methods**

**Study type:** Cross-sectional study

**Study Population and Area:** Children aged 0-14 years registered with District Tuberculosis Centre, Tarn Taran

**Study Duration:** 1st January 2018 to 31st December 2018.

**Inclusion criteria:** All children in the age group of 0-14 year after informed consent from the parents/guardian.

**Exclusion criteria:** Critically ill children and those with multi drug resistant tuberculosis were excluded from the study.

**Data collection and analysis**

The study was conducted in Tarn Taran district of Punjab having the total population of 11,59,858 out of which 97,822 is rural and 1,81636 is urban. A total of 1218 patients were registered with District Tuberculosis Centre, Tarn Taran for year 2018. Of all the registered patients, 62 children with confirmed drug-susceptible TB were enrolled for the study. List of patients and their treatment record was collected from District Tuberculosis Officer, Tarn Taran. Houses of all the patients were located using information available from the tuberculosis register and DOT providers. The parent or the guardian was informed about the purpose of the study and their consent was taken in their own vernacular language. A pre-designed and pre-tested proforma was administered to the subjects. Questionnaire included questions regarding the socio-demographic and clinical profile of the patients. Data including types of TB, method of diagnosis, HIV and diabetes status and treatment outcomes was obtained from the patient’s medical records and from TB register. Criteria for patient treatment outcome were determined according to RNTCP guidelines. (7) The possible outcomes of the drug susceptible TB patients under DOTS can be: cured, treatment completed, failure, Failure to respond, regimen changed and died.

**Cured:** Microbiologically confirmed TB patient at the beginning of treatment who was smear Or culture negative at the end of the complete treatment.

**Treatment completed:** A TB patient who completed treatment without evidence of failure or clinical deterioration BUT with no record to how that sputum smear or culture results of biological specimen in the last month of treatment was negative, either because tests were not done or because results are unavailable.

**Failure:** A TB patient whose biological specimen is positive by smear or culture at the end of treatment.

**Failure to respond:** A case of paediatric TB who fails to have microbiological conversion to negative status or fails to respond clinically/or deteriorates after 12 weeks of compliant treatment shall be deemed to have failed response provided alternative diagnosis/reasons for non-response have been ruled out.

**Lost to follow up:** A TB patient whose treatment was interrupted continuously for ONE month or more.

**Not evaluated:** A TB patient for whom no treatment outcome is assigned. This includes former “transfer-out”.

**Treatment Regimen changed:** A TB patient who is on first line regimen and has been diagnosed as having Drug resistant TB and switched to drug resistant TB regimen prior to being declared as failed.

**Died:** A patient who has died during the course of treatment.

**Ethical Approval:** Taken from the institutional ethical committee at the time of commencement of the study.

**Statistical analysis:** Data was tabulated on Microsoft Excel Sheet. The master chart was prepared and data analysis was carried out by using SPSS 22.0 version. The demographic and clinical variables were analysed by frequency and percentage. Chi square test was used was used to evaluate differences in categorical variables and p<0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Results**

The present study assessed the treatment outcomes and determinants among children, registered under DOTS program in District Tarn Taran. Table 1 depicts that out of total 62 cases, more than half i.e. 62.9% were 10-14 years of age. Female predominance was seen, with female to male ratio of 2:1. Of the total study subjects, 72.6% were from rural background. As far as site of TB is concerned, both pulmonary and extra-pulmonary cases were equal in number. Majority i.e. 91.9%; were new TB cases. It was revealed that 25.8% children had history of contact with some TB patient and rest had no contact history. Out of the total, 38.7% of the patients were diagnosed by ZN microscopy, 17.7% by Chest X-ray, 6.5% by CBNAAT and 37.1% by other diagnostic tests. Regarding HIV status only 1 case was found to be HIV positive. Of all the children, 3.2% were diabetic and 14.5% patients did not get themselves evaluated for diabetes. (Table 1)

The perusal of table shows that out of the total 62 patients, 20 (32.3%) were cured, 38 (61.3%) completed treatment, 1 each was lost to follow up and changed regimen and for 2 (3.2%) the outcome was not evaluated. (Table 2)

Table 3 reveals that among different demographic and clinical factors, age (p=0. 002), mode of diagnosis (p=0.000), site of TB (p= 0.000) and contact history (p=0.012) were significantly associated with the treatment outcome whereas sex and area of residence and type of case had no such association. (Table 3)

**Discussion**

A total of 62 pediatric patients were registered under DOTS in District Tarn Taran and were enrolled in the study. In the present study, maximum number of patients (62.9%) were in age group of 11-14 year, which is in agreement with the
previous studies done in Ethiopia by Hailu et al and Tilahun & Gebre-Selasie. (8,9) Similar findings were also reported by Bharani A et al from central India. (10) In our study children under the age of 5 years constituted only 16.1% of total childhood TB cases despite the fact that childhood TB is commonest in the age group of <5years. (3) The most reasonable explanation can be difficulties in the diagnosis of younger children, principally in collecting bacteriologic specimens or gastric aspirates which can result in under reporting of TB cases in this age group. (11,12) In the present study, participants constituted more of the females (67.7%) as compared to the males. These findings are similar to a study done by Mazta et al. (13) Many of the Indian studies found that more than 60% of those affected with childhood TB were females. (14) One possible reason for higher number of girls affected could be neglect of girl child leading to poor nutritional status, consequently low immunity and poor treatment seeking behavior of parents.

Our study revealed that 72.6% of the participants resided in the rural areas and an equal number of children were diagnosed with Pulmonary and Extra pulmonary Tuberculosis. This could be due to small sample size of the present study.

Present study revealed that 91.9% subjects were registered as new cases. Study by laghari et al had 95.5% children enrolled as new cases. (15) in another study by Ogbudebe et al, a high proportion of patients (98.5%) had new TB infection. (16)

In the present study, the majority of children were diagnosed by sputum microscopy (38.7%) followed by other tests like CT scan, FNAC and Ultrasonography for diagnosing Extra pulmonary tuberculosis. Study by Ogbudebe et al showed that nearly a third (27.8%) were bacteriologically diagnosed, whereas rest were diagnosed clinically. (16)

A Perusal of table 2 shows the treatment outcomes of patients. In the present study, the overall treatment success rate (cured+ Treatment completed) was 93.6%, similar results were documented in their studies by Laghari et al. (17) (Table 3) illustrates that age (p= 0.002), site of disease (p=0.000), contact history (p=0.012) and diagnostic method (0.000) was significantly associated with the treatment outcome whereas gender, area of residence and type of case had no association with the treatment outcome in children.

Similar findings were observed in the study by Laghari et al and Ramesh et al that age is significantly associated with treatment outcome. (15,18) Similarly study by Ogbudebe LC et al found that site, type of TB and diagnostic method were the factors significantly associated with the treatment outcome. (16) Other studies by Alavi et al and Laghari M et al revealed that contact history of the child was significantly associated with the treatment outcome. (19,15)

Conclusion

The present study had success rate of 93.6% which is in more than the national success rate. Our study also revealed that most of the patients were in the age group of 6-14 years. Age, site of disease, contact history and diagnostic method was significantly associated with the treatment outcome.

Recommendation

To improve the identification of TB in children, household contact investigation of all TB patients should be systematically implemented in children. Routine screening of TB should be intensified among children to improve TB treatment outcome. Further studies are needed to assess the reasons for the low proportion of TB case notification among the under five children. We need to identify and implement strategies to reach out to the cases missed by the programme and address challenges in reaching all childhood TB patients.
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Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-demographic factor</th>
<th>Total (N=62)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age Group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>72.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-pulmonary</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New treatment regimen</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retreatment regimen</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy (ZN staining)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X Rays</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBNAAT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Reactive</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-morbidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not-known</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Others include Biopsy, Computed tomography (CT), FNAC, Ultrasonography etc; **CBNAAT: Cartridge based Nucleic acid Amplification test
### TABLE 2 DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO THEIR TREATMENT OUTCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cured</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment completed</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to Follow Up</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regimen changed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3 DISTRIBUTION OF CASES SHOWING THE DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL FACTORS AFFECTING THE TREATMENT OUTCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of patient</th>
<th>Cured (N=20) N(%)</th>
<th>Treatment Completed (N=38) N(%)</th>
<th>Lost to follow up (N=1) N(%)</th>
<th>Regimen Changed (N=1)N(%)</th>
<th>Not Evaluated (N=2)N(%)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5 (n=10)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>χ² = 24.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 (n=13)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>9 (69.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td>df = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-14 (n=39)</td>
<td>19 (48.7)</td>
<td>19 (48.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>p = 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n=20)</td>
<td>3 (15.0)</td>
<td>15 (75.0)</td>
<td>1 (5.0)</td>
<td>1 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>χ² = 8.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n=42)</td>
<td>17 (40.5)</td>
<td>23 (54.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (4.8)</td>
<td>df = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area of Residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (n=45)</td>
<td>15 (33.3)</td>
<td>27 (60.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (4.4)</td>
<td>χ² = 3.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (n=17)</td>
<td>5 (29.4)</td>
<td>11 (64.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>p = 0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact History</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present(n=16)</td>
<td>3 (18.8)</td>
<td>9 (56.3)</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
<td>χ² = 12.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent(n=46)</td>
<td>17 (37.0)</td>
<td>29 (63.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>df = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZN Microscopy (n= 24)</td>
<td>18 (75.0)</td>
<td>5 (20.8)</td>
<td>1(4.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>χ² = 39.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X Ray (n=11)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>9 (81.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>df = 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (n=23)**</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>21 (91.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.3)</td>
<td>1 (4.3)</td>
<td>p = 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBNAAT (n=4)**</td>
<td>1(25.0)</td>
<td>3 (75.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary (n=31)</td>
<td>20 (64.5)</td>
<td>10 (32.3)</td>
<td>1(3.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>χ² = 32.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-pulmonary (n=31)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>28 (90.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>2 (6.5)</td>
<td>df = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Case</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New (n=57)</td>
<td>18(31.6)</td>
<td>35(61.4)</td>
<td>1(1.8)</td>
<td>1(1.8)</td>
<td>2(3.5)</td>
<td>χ² = 0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retreatment (n=5)</td>
<td>2(40.0)</td>
<td>3(60.0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>df = 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Others include Biopsy, Computed tomography (CT), FNAC, Ultrasonography etc. **CBNAAT: Catridge based Nucleic acid Amplification test*