

SHORT ARTICLE

Microscopic inter-observer reliability of intestinal parasitic infections in trained laboratory technicians of rural MexicoJoel Monárrez-Espino¹, Devy Elling¹, María Angélica Cárdenas-Dimaté¹, Andres Balleza-Carreón²¹Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden ²Hospital Rural Oportunidades No. 26, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Guachochi, Chihuahua, Mexico

Abstract	Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusion	References	Citation	Tables / Figures
--------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------

Corresponding Author

Address for Correspondence: Assoc. Prof. Joel Monárrez-Espino. Karolinska Institutet. Department of Public Health Sciences. Widerströmska Huset. Tomtebodavägen 18A. SE-17177 Stockholm, Sweden Tel: +46 8 52483384

E Mail ID: joel.monarrez-espino@ki.se

Citation

Monárrez-Espino, Elling, Cárdenas-Dimaté, Balleza-Carreón. Microscopic inter-observer reliability of intestinal parasitic infections in trained laboratory technicians of rural Mexico. Indian J Comm Health. 2015; 27, 3: 372-375.

Source of Funding : National Council for Science and Technology; National Commission for the Health of Indigenous Peoples **Conflict of Interest**: None declared

Article Cycle

Submission: 06/07/2015; **Revision**: 30/08/2015; **Acceptance**: 23/09/2015; **Publication**: 30/09/2015

Abstract

Intestinal parasitic infections caused by *Giardia lamblia* (GL), *Ascaris lumbricoides* (AL) and *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar* (Eh/Ed) are highly prevalent among indigenous groups in Mexico. In resource-constrained settings, direct microscopic fecal examination continues to be a common diagnostic method in spite its limited accuracy. This study aimed at illustrating the effect of training local laboratory technicians from a rural reference hospital located in a marginalized indigenous region of northern Mexico to assess the inter-observer reliability of GL, AL, and Eh/Ed diagnoses. Two experienced technicians working at the hospital were trained and standardized for two full weeks in the Parasitology Laboratory at the National Children's Hospital from Mexico City. Diagnoses were made by microscopy of two serial stool samples processed using the modified Faust zinc sulphate centrifugal flotation technique to concentrate AL eggs and GL and Eh/Ed cysts. Observations were done independently, and the final diagnosis for each observer was established when at least one of the two samples resulted positive. Reliability analyses from serial stool samples were conducted using Cohen's kappa correlation coefficient (κ) for each parasite. Agreement between observers reached 88.7, 72.4, and 80.5% for Eh/Ed, AL, and GL, respectively. Largest kappa coefficient was observed for GL ($\kappa=0.55$), followed by Eh/Ed ($\kappa=0.30$), and AL ($\kappa=0.08$). Prevalence of Eh/Ed, AL and GL according to observers 1 and 2 were 3.4 vs. 13.5%, 4.0 vs. 28.2%, and 32.2 vs. 33.3%, respectively. Except for GL, reliability was very low leading to major differences in prevalence estimates. These results question the value of training technicians, as intestinal parasitic microscopic diagnoses seemed to be very difficult to replicate between observers questioning their validity, leading to differences in clinical decisions, and in prevalence estimates.

Key Words

Amoeba; ascaris; giardia; intestinal parasites; inter-observer reliability; microscopy; training

Introduction

Intestinal parasitic infections caused by *Giardia lamblia* (GL), *Ascaris lumbricoides* (AL) and *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar* (Eh/Ed) are the second leading cause of morbidity and mortality in Mexico

(1), and are especially prevalent in poor indigenous populations (2,3).

They persist in remote areas with poor hygiene and high environmental risk factors (4). In Mexico, Eh/Ed has the highest incidence followed by AL and GL with 288, 53 and 13 cases per 100,000 in 2013,

respectively (5). However, data should be seen carefully, as diagnostic methods used are mostly clinical or based on microscopic fecal exam, which limit accuracy significantly (6,7).

From various techniques available to identify these parasites, direct microscopy of stool samples is widely used in resource-constrained settings (7,8) due to its relative accessibility and low cost (9). Despite its limited accuracy (10-15), studies suggest that microscopic exam can be a cost-effective tool to detect intestinal parasites such as GL and AL (9,16) provided that diagnoses are made after rigorous training of laboratory technicians (6,16).

However, there is insufficient data on the value of training individuals in terms of reliability to diagnose specific parasites. In fact, we were unable to find any published studies looking at the inter-observer reliability between laboratory technicians after training to identify the three parasites studied here using microscopy. While producing the same diagnosis does not imply valid results, failure to do limit the chance of accurate diagnoses.

Aims & Objectives

To illustrate the effect of training local technicians working in the laboratory of a rural reference hospital located in a marginalized indigenous region of northern Mexico to assess the inter-observer reliability for GL, AL and Eh/Ed diagnoses.

Material and Methods

We performed reliability analyses between two laboratory technicians for the identification of GL, AL and Eh/Ed using serial stool samples. This was a part of a school-based trial to prevent intestinal parasitic infections among indigenous children using an intervention that included anti-parasitic treatment, modifications in school infrastructure, and implementation of educational measures (17).

Children from two indigenous boarding schools, located in a poor, marginalized, and mountainous region of northern Mexico were screened for intestinal parasites. Children attend school during weekdays where they receive food, shelter, and education, and return back for the weekends to their homes usually located in small, scattered and isolated settlements with cold weather in the hills and subtropical in the gorges. From the 222 children registered at both schools, 196 were present during the baseline visit. Adequate samples were available for 194 and 184 children for AL/GL and Eh/Ed analyses, respectively.

Diagnoses were made by microscopy of two consecutive stool samples. Fecal specimens of 15-20 g were placed in clean plastic containers and preserved in 10% formaldehyde solution for up to three days before microscopic examination at the laboratory of the largest reference hospital in the region.

Samples were processed using the modified Faust zinc sulphate centrifugal flotation technique to concentrate AL eggs, and GL and Eh/Ed cysts (18). Microscopic observations were made by the two experienced technicians working at the hospital, trained and standardized for two weeks in the Parasitology Laboratory at the National Pediatric Hospital of Mexico City. The diagnosis of each parasite was established by the two technicians independently when at least one of the two samples was positive.

The proportion of agreement and Cohen's kappa correlation coefficient were computed to assess the inter-observer reliability. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 22.

This original study was approved by the National Council for Science and Technology (FOMIX CONACyT-Chihuahua grant No. 23223) and by the National Commission for the Health of Indigenous Peoples. Parents or tutors provided informed consent, and children themselves gave witnessed verbal consent. Individualized nitazoxanide treatment was given to children with positive diagnoses.

Results

For Eh/Ed, AL and GL, agreement between observers reached 88.7, 72.4, and 80.5%, respectively. The largest kappa coefficient was observed for GL ($\kappa=0.55$), followed by Eh/Ed ($\kappa=0.30$), and AL ($\kappa=0.08$). Prevalence of Eh/Ed, AL and GL according to observers 1 and 2 was 3.4 vs. 13.5%, 4.0 vs. 28.2%, and 32.2 vs. 33.3%, respectively. ([Table 1](#))

Discussion

Accurate diagnoses of parasitic infections must rely on methods with high sensitivity and specificity to be of value for public health purposes (19). However, when such methods are subjected to human error, training becomes crucial to produce valid results (20). In the case of certain parasitic infections, not only is the use of serial samples essential when using direct microscopy (16), the expertise of the laboratory technician also becomes necessary to improve the probability of correct diagnoses (20).

While it is true that there are better methods to diagnose intestinal parasitic infections, it is also a fact that the use of microscopy continues to be widely used in many middle- and most low-income settings, including Mexico and India, in spite of its low accuracy and reliability that leads to poor diagnoses and inadequate treatment.

We measured the reliability of diagnoses for three common intestinal parasites between two local technicians trained in a major laboratory of a national hospital. Except for GL, kappa coefficients were very low, especially for AL, leading to major differences in prevalence estimates between observers. While good reliability does not assure diagnostic validity, poor reliability does seriously question it. Based on these findings, we can only recommend the use of better methods to limit misdiagnoses.

Small laboratories located in remote locations require better infrastructure and resources to be able to run tests that are subjected to less human error. While molecular methods to diagnose parasitic species is currently one that requires expensive infrastructure and highly trained personnel (21, 22), efforts should be made to incorporate the use of immunoenzymatic techniques to improve the diagnoses of these and other parasites (23, 24).

In conclusion, our results question the value of training technicians, as intestinal parasitic microscopic diagnoses seemed to be very difficult to replicate between observers questioning their validity, leading to differences in clinical decisions, and in prevalence estimates. We believe that our paper sends a clear public health message to health authorities, as it questions the use of microscopic examination of stool samples to diagnose intestinal parasites, and thus raises awareness of the need to use more reliable and accurate tools.

Conclusion

Small laboratories located in remote locations require better infrastructure and resources to be able to run tests that are subjected to less human error. While training can improve microscopic diagnoses, the use of better methods (e.g. immune enzymatic) is required to obtain more reliable results.

Recommendation

Based on these findings, we can only recommend the use of better methods to limit misdiagnoses.

Authors Contribution

All authors contributed equally.

References

1. Secretaría de Salud. Perfil Epidemiológico de los Municipios Indígenas en México [Internet] Estados Unidos de México: Dirección General de Epidemiología; 2012. [cited 10 Nov 2014] Available from: http://www.epidemiologia.salud.gob.mx/doctos/infoepid/publicaciones/2012/Monografias1_Municipios_Indigenas_Mex.pdf
2. Morales-Espinoza EM, Sánchez-Pérez HJ, García-Gil Mdel M, Vargas-Morales G, Méndez-Sánchez JD, Pérez-Ramírez M. Intestinal parasites in children, in highly deprived areas in the border region of Chiapas, Mexico. *Salud Publica Mex.* 2003 Sep-Oct;45(5):379-88. PubMed PMID: 14628618. [PubMed]
3. Quihui L, Valencia ME, Crompton DW, Phillips S, Hagan P, Morales G, Díaz-Camacho SP. Role of the employment status and education of mothers in the prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections in Mexican rural schoolchildren. *BMC Public Health.* 2006 Sep 6;6:225. PubMed PMID: 16956417; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1584408. [PubMed]
4. Norhayati M, Fatmah MS, Yusof S, Edariah AB. Intestinal parasitic infections in man: a review. *Med J Malaysia.* 2003 Jun;58(2):296-305; quiz 306. Review. PubMed PMID: 14569755. [PubMed]
5. Secretaría de Salud. Anuarios de morbilidad [Internet] Estados Unidos de México: Dirección General de Epidemiología; 2013. [cited 15 Nov 2014] Available from: <http://www.epidemiologia.salud.gob.mx/anuario/html/anuarios.html>
6. Van Lieshout L, Verweij JJ. Newer diagnostic approaches to intestinal protozoa. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2010;23:488-93. [PubMed]
7. Yansouni CP, Merckx J, Libman MD, Ndao M. Recent advances in clinical parasitology diagnostics. *Curr Infect Dis Rep.* 2014 Nov;16(11):434. doi: 10.1007/s11908-014-0434-9. PubMed PMID: 25230603. [PubMed]
8. Ali IK, Clark CG, Petri WA Jr. Molecular epidemiology of amebiasis. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2008 Sep;8(5):698-707. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2008.05.004. Epub 2008 May 14. PubMed PMID: 18571478; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2577599. [PubMed]
9. Becker SL, Vogt J, Knopp S, Panning M, Warhurst DC, Polman K, Marti H, von Müller L, Yansouni CP, Jacobs J, Bottieau E, Sacko M, Rijal S, Meyanti F, Miles MA, Boelaert M, Lutumba P, van Lieshout L, N'Goran EK, Chappuis F, Utzinger J. Persistent digestive disorders in the tropics: causative infectious pathogens and reference diagnostic tests. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2013 Jan 24;13:37. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-13-37. Review. PubMed PMID: 23347408; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3579720. [PubMed]
10. Lebbad M, Svärd SG. PCR differentiation of *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Entamoeba dispar* from patients with amoeba infection initially diagnosed by microscopy. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2005;37(9):680-5. PubMed PMID: 16126570. [PubMed]
11. Fotedar R, Stark D, Beebe N, Marriott D, Ellis J, Harkness J. Laboratory diagnostic techniques for *Entamoeba* species. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2007 Jul;20(3):511-32, table of contents. Review. PubMed PMID: 17630338; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1932757. [PubMed]
12. Taniuchi M, Verweij JJ, Noor Z, Sobuz SU, Lieshout Lv, Petri WA Jr, Haque R, Houpt ER. High throughput multiplex PCR and probe-based detection with Luminex beads for seven intestinal

parasites. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2011 Feb;84(2):332-7. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2011.10-0461. PubMed PMID: 21292910; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3029193. [\[PubMed\]](#)

13. Boadi S, Polley SD, Kilburn S, Mills GA, Chiodini PL. A critical assessment of two real-time PCR assays targeting the (SSU) rRNA and *gdh* genes for the molecular identification of *Giardia intestinalis* in a clinical laboratory. *J Clin Pathol* 2014;67:811-6.

14. Jahan N, Khatoon R, Ahmad S. A Comparison of Microscopy and Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay for Diagnosis of *Giardia lamblia* in Human Faecal Specimens. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2014 Nov;8(11):DC04-6. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2014/9484.5087. Epub 2014 Nov 20. PubMed PMID: 25584215; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4290233. [\[PubMed\]](#)

15. Nikolay B, Brooker SJ, Pullan RL. Sensitivity of diagnostic tests for human soil-transmitted helminth infections: a meta-analysis in the absence of a true gold standard. *Int J Parasitol.* 2014 Oct 1;44(11):765-74. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2014.05.009. Epub 2014 Jun 30. PubMed PMID: 24992655; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4186778. [\[PubMed\]](#)

16. McHardy IH, Wu M, Shimizu-Cohen R, Couturier MR, Humphries RM. Detection of intestinal protozoa in the clinical laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2014 Mar;52(3):712-20. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02877-13. Epub 2013 Nov 6. Review. PubMed PMID: 24197877; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3957779. [\[PubMed\]](#)

17. Monárrez-Espino J, Pérez-Espejo CR, Vázquez-Mendoza G, Balleza-Carreón A, Caballero-Hoyos R. Intervention to prevent intestinal parasitic reinfections among Tarahumara indigenous schoolchildren in northern Mexico. *Rev Panam Salud Publica.* 2011 Sep;30(3):196-203. PubMed PMID: 22069065. [\[PubMed\]](#)

18. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Procedures for the recovery and identification of parasites from the intestinal tract (guideline M28-A). Wayne: NCCLS; 1997.

19. Tello R, Terashima A, Marcos LA, Machicado J, Canales M, Gotuzzo E. Highly effective and inexpensive parasitological technique for diagnosis of intestinal parasites in developing countries: spontaneous sedimentation technique in tube. *Int J Infect Dis* 2012;16:e414-6.

20. Libman MD, Gyorkos TW, Kokoskin E, Maclean JD. Detection of pathogenic protozoa in the diagnostic laboratory: result reproducibility, specimen pooling, and competency assessment. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2008 Jul;46(7):2200-5. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01666-07. Epub 2008 Apr 30. PubMed PMID: 18448690; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2446938. [\[PubMed\]](#)

21. Santos HL, Peralta RH, de Macedo HW, Barreto MG, Peralta JM. Comparison of multiplex-PCR and antigen detection for differential diagnosis of *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2007 Jun;11(3):365-70. PubMed PMID: 17684641. [\[PubMed\]](#)

22. Mejia R, Vicuña Y, Broncano N, Sandoval C, Vaca M, Chico M, Cooper PJ, Nutman TB. A novel, multi-parallel, real-time polymerase chain reaction approach for eight gastrointestinal parasites provides improved diagnostic capabilities to resource-limited at-risk populations. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2013 Jun;88(6):1041-7. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.12-0726. Epub 2013 Mar 18. PubMed PMID: 23509117; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3752800. [\[PubMed\]](#)

23. Miladinovic Tasic NL, Tasic SA, Tasic IS. The efficiency of immunoenzyme assay in the diagnosis of lambliosis. *Cent Eur J Med* 2010;5:464-69.

24. Gaafar MR. Evaluation of enzyme immunoassay techniques for diagnosis of the most common intestinal protozoa in fecal samples. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2011 Aug;15(8):e541-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2011.04.004. Epub 2011 Jun 1. PubMed PMID: 21636305. [\[PubMed\]](#)

Tables

TABLE 1 RELIABILITY BETWEEN TWO LABORATORY TECHNICIANS USING DIRECT MICROSCOPY TO DIAGNOSE ENTAMOEBA HISTOLYTICA/DISPAR, ASCARIS LUMBRICOIDES, AND GIARDIA LAMBLIA FROM TWO SERIAL STOOL SAMPLES AMONG INDIGENOUS SCHOOLCHILDREN OF NORTHERN MEXICO

Trained laboratory technician		Observer 2, n (%)		Total	Cohen's kappa coefficient
		Positive	Negative		
<i>Entamoeba histolytica/dispar</i>					
Observer 1, n (%)	Positive	5 (2.8)	1 (0.6)	6 (3.4)	0.30
	Negative	19 (10.6)	153 (85.9)	172 (96.6)	
	Total	24 (13.5)	154 (86.5)	178	
<i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i>					
Observer 1, n (%)	Positive	4 (2.3)	3 (1.7)	7 (4.0)	0.08
	Negative	45 (25.9)	122 (70.1)	167 (96.0)	
	Total	49 (28.2)	125 (71.8)	174	
<i>Giardia lamblia</i>					
Observer 1, n (%)	Positive	40 (23.0)	16 (9.2)	56 (32.2)	0.55
	Negative	18 (10.3)	100 (57.5)	118 (67.8)	
	Total	58 (33.3)	116 (66.7)	174	